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ABSTRACT

Aim: To find the best algorithm for the prediction of Innovative cardiovascular disease accurately, with fewer errors between Neural Network and Support Vector Machine classifiers. Materials and Methods: Data collection containing various data points for predicting Innovative cardiovascular disease from UCI machine learning repository. Classification is performed by Neural Network classifier (N=20) over Support Vector Machine (N=20) total sample size calculation is done through clinical.com. The accuracy was calculated using Matlab software and the outputs are graphed using SPSS software. Results: comparison of accuracy rate is done by independent sample test using SPSS software. There is a statistical indifference between Neural Network and Support Vector Machine. Support Vector Machine algorithm (87.38%) showed better results in comparison to Neural Network (81.12%). Conclusion: Support Vector Machine algorithm appears to give better accuracy than Neural Network for the prediction of Innovative Cardiovascular Disease.
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INTRODUCTION

In the era where life is at a fast pace slowly the concentration on health is being kept aside or can be said in other terms as a less caring factor until any serious issue arises, throughout the evolution, many things have greatly changed and so do the food habits. If it’s left untreated the pumping of the blood reduces and blood supply to the important organs reduces and will be slowly leading to death (Amma and Bhuvaneswari Amma 2012) (Kim 2021) So the advancement in healthcare also had made the prediction of Innovative cardiovascular disease in many new ways such as machine learning, but for getting further better results we need to use better technology such as deep learning (Sajja and Kalluri 2020). The main aim of the project is to compare between Neural Network algorithm and Support Vector Machine algorithms for the prediction of Innovative cardiovascular disease by using deep learning and machine learning techniques and finding the best among the both (Nagar 2017). The prediction of Innovative cardiovascular disease should be done by the evaluation of certain features given by the user. If the accuracy of this prediction is high enough it will be helping to save a lot of human resources by avoiding incorrect diagnoses (Shouman, Turner, and Stocker 2012).

About 150 Science direct and 47 IEEE Explorer articles were found similar to this work in the last 5 years and has a clear report of developed algorithms and models using machine learning algorithms such as Naive Bayes, Support Vector Machine, Logistic Regression, Neural Network, Random Forest algorithms to predict and evaluate the performance of each algorithm in terms of accuracy, sensitivity, precision in the prediction of Innovative cardiovascular diseases (Lai et al. 2019). In this paper, the major aim is to evaluate the validity of every algorithm in terms of accuracy, sensitivity, precision, and specificity and to find the best accuracy obtaining algorithm for the prediction of Innovative cardiovascular disease (Goldman et al. 2021). Accuracy comparison is done over different classifiers Naive Bayes, Neural Network, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, diagnoses, and Support Vector Machine on UCI Machine Learning Reposito-
ry data set. All these classifiers are executed in simulated environments using Matlab data mining tools (Zakaria 2003). The executed results depict high accuracy by the support vector machine algorithm with an accuracy of 87.38% and with the error rate whereas the Neural Network algorithm got 81.12%. The precision values of Support Vector Machine algorithms and Neural Network algorithms also are 90.85% and 82.96% respectively, followed by recall and F1 values are also ruled out by Support vector Machine classifiers with higher values than the Neural Network (Chen 2007). Research work proposed a machine learning algorithm comparison of various classifiers to predict and reduce deaths due to Innovative cardiovascular diseases (Jiang 2020). Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translate into high quality publications (Chellapa et al. 2020; Lavanya, Kannan, and Arivalagan 2021; Raj R, D, and S 2020; Shilpa-Jain et al. 2021; S, R, and P 2021; Ramadoss, Padmanaban, and Subramanian 2022; Wu et al. 2020; Kalidoss, Umapathy, and Rani Thirunavukarasu 2021; Kaja et al. 2020; Antink et al. 2020; Paul et al. 2020; Malaikolundhan et al. 2020)

Inefficient predictions by the algorithms, more data redundancies, and human error in the early detection of Innovative cardiovascular disease by conventional methods are giving errors this motivated me to do the research work improving the accuracy of the classifiers for the better prediction of Innovative cardiovascular diseases. The major drawback of the existing research is having poor accuracy in the prediction algorithms. The authors are experts in machine learning and deep learning algorithms technologies. The main aim is to analyze and compare the breast cancer detection techniques using the best innovative machine learning algorithms namely the Neural Network algorithm and Support vector machine.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was carried out at the University simulation laboratory, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai. In the current paper, the dataset was taken from UCI Machine Learning Repository Innovative cardiovascular disease dataset. This data set consists of various features of the patients and different parameters of the patients in the given data set using the description of the various features in the form of columnar attributes. There is visualization and analysis for support.

The data was donated by the UCI Machine Learning Repository and this includes all the parameters and the features which are required for the prediction, analysis, and evaluation of Innovative cardiovascular diseases such as age and various heart parameters. This data is divided into two different groups. The sample size calculation was done using previous study results by clinical.com by keeping alpha error-threshold by 0.05, confidence interval at 95%, enrollment ratio as 0:1, and power at 80%. Sample preparation is carried out for Neural Network algorithm and Support Vector Machine algorithms classifiers for the data collected from the UCI Machine Learning Repository dataset.

The neural network algorithm is a probabilistic machine learning algorithm that is used for decision-making tasks (AhmedMedjahed, Saadi, and Benyettou 2013). Neural Network will approximate the independence between the features of the dataset rules. Applying Bayes Theorem we can build a Neural Network Algorithm model. All the characteristics in the Neural Network and this method is based on the conditional probabilities. But the Support Vector Machine algorithm is the higher accuracy giving algorithm which uses supervised learning and has excellent accuracy and classification performance. Support vector machine uses non-linear mapping to vary the training data to a greater dimension. The hyperplanes are selected by the Support Vector Machine algorithm (Jayadeva, Khemchandani, and Chandra 2016).

Group 1 is Neural Network and with N value 20 and group 2 is Support Vector Machine with N value 20, the total sample size is 40. A sample dataset of both Neural Network and Support Vector Machine are exported to the Microsoft Excel Sheet for importing to the Matlab as input. Matlab 2021a software has to be installed on the PC for training the source dataset. Both Neural Network and Support Vector Machine algorithms are used to train the sample groups. A confusion matrix is obtained and true positive, true negative, false positive, and false negative values are noted. Accuracy (%), sensitivity (%) and precision (%) values are calculated from the resulting confusion matrix.

**Statistical Analysis**

The software used here for the statistical analysis is IBM SPSS V28.0.0.0 (190). Accuracy, precision, recall, and f1 Comparison of Neural Network with Support Vector Machine algorithm were done in this software. As the variables are independent of each other an in-
dependent sample T-test was carried out to find the mean values of accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 between two groups, and performance comparison between the two groups is performed.

RESULTS

In this research work of Innovative cardiovascular diseases prediction by Neural Network and Support Vector Machine algorithm on UCI Machine Learning Repository, the results depict to produce the same variable results with the accuracy of 81.12% and 87.38%, precision 82.96% and 90.85%, recall 80.95% and 84.52% and F1 81.88% and 87.55% respectively.

Table 2 shows the comparison of mean accuracy, mean sensitivity, and mean precision values of the Neural Network and Support Vector Machine algorithm. Support Vector Machine shows the higher values in terms of accuracy, sensitivity, and precision. Variable results with an accuracy rate of 87.38%, precision of 90.85%, recall value of 84.52%, and F1 value of 87.55%. Whereas results of Neural Network are with an accuracy of 81.12%, precision of 82.96%, recall value of 80.95%, and F1 value of 81.88%. The Neural Network algorithm had less accuracy, precision, recall and F1 when compared to the Support Vector Machine algorithm as shown in Table 1a and Table 1b. The descriptive statistics of table 2 shows that the Support Vector Machine algorithm had less error when compared to the Neural Network algorithm.
Independent sample T-test results show that there is a statistically insignificant difference in accuracy (P<0.001), precision (P<0.001), recall (P<0.001), and F1 (P<0.001) as shown in Table 3. Bar Chart representing the comparison of mean accuracy, mean precision, mean recall, and mean F1 values of Neural Network and Support Vector Machine as shown in Fig. 1. Figure 2a and Fig. 2b. represent the confusion matrix of the Neural Network algorithm and Support Vector Machine algorithm.

**DISCUSSION**

In this research paper for the prediction of innovative cardiovascular diseases, we observed Support vector Machine had performed better with an accuracy of 87.38%, the precision of 90.85%, recall of 84.52%,

**Table 3**

Independent sample T-test in predicting the accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 of cardiovascular disease prediction using Neural Network and Support Vector Machine classifiers. There appears to be a statistically insignificant difference (P<0.001) in both the classifiers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LEVENE’S TEST FOR EQUALITY OF</th>
<th>T-TEST FOR EQUALITY OF MEANS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VARIANCES</td>
<td>SIGNIFICANCE 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL OF THE DIFFERENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F     SIG    T     DF</td>
<td>ONE-SID-ED P        STDERROR DIFFERENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Equal Variance Assumed .85 .362 -7.71 38 &lt;.001 .00810 -.07890 -.04610</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equal Variance is not Assumed -7.71 35.75 &lt;.001 .00810 -.07893 -.04607</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>Equal Variance Assumed 2.14 .151 -6.75 38 &lt;.001 .01169 -.10257 -.05525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equal Variance is not Assumed -6.751 33.51 &lt;.001 .01169 -.10267 -.05514</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>Equal Variance Assumed 10.49 .002 -6.09 38 &lt;.001 .00586 -.04757 -.02386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equal Variance is not Assumed -6.0 34.77 &lt;.001 .00586 -.04761 -.02382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>Equal Variance Assumed .001 .979 -8.2 38 &lt;.001 .00692 -.07076 -.04276</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equal Variance is not Assumed -8.2 37.94 &lt;.001 .00692 -.07076 -.04276</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** Bar chart representing the comparison between Neural Network and Support Vector Machine algorithms in terms of mean accuracy, mean precision, mean recall, mean F1 for the prediction of cardiovascular diseases. Both the classifiers appear to produce similar rate accuracies but Support Vector Machine algorithms with slightly higher accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 values of 87.38%, 90.85%, 84.52%, and 87.55% respectively. Whereas results of Neural Network are with an accuracy of 81.12%, precision of 82.96%, recall value of 80.95%, and F1 value of 81.88%. Y-axis: Mean of accuracy, precision, recall rates for identification of keywords ± 1SD with 95% CI.
and F1 value of 87.55% when compared to Neural Network are with an accuracy of 81.12%, precision of 82.96%, recall value of 80.95%, and F1 value of 81.88%. Although not statistically significant, the significant difference appears to have slightly increased table 3. Machine Learning algorithms play an important role in the early detection of Innovative cardiovascular diseases.

Related works are done by many researchers (Patil 2021) proposed using similar comparison and by using machine learning algorithms and the main aim is to accurately evaluate the model in terms of accuracy, precision, sensitivity, specificity, and F- measure. Another study was done by (Haq et al. 2018) this paper the author implemented a Machine Learning algorithm for the prediction of Innovative cardiovascular diseases and by using a cardiovascular dataset which resulted from Accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and MCC (Auxilia and Alice Auxilia 2018). A paper by (Sujini and Naga Sujini 2021) used a similar feature section by using similar machine learning algorithms in which the Neural Network had shown a lower accuracy value of 80% for the prediction of Innovative cardiovascular disease. A comparative study of various classifiers was done in this paper (Jiang 2020) and the results reach the highest accuracy over the UCI Machine Learning Repository dataset.

The major factors that are affecting the accuracy are data redundancies and depending on the data size the accuracy may be varied. Further increase in the sample size will be yielding better accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 values. Preprocessing of the data is much needed for the optimal results for the prediction of Innovative cardiovascular diseases.

Limitation of this development of an efficient classification system that combines the effectiveness of the best accuracy obtained for the improvement of the prediction. A large dataset of real-time applications paired with other machine learning algorithms and deep learning algorithms may improve the accuracy in future and the overall performance of the output. Overall, the findings of this study are highly promising for the future.

CONCLUSION

In this study of prediction of Innovative cardiovascular diseases, the support vector machine algorithm has a higher accuracy of 87.38% than the which got an accuracy of 75.13%. Support vector machine with an accuracy of (87.38%), a precision of 90.85%, recall of 84.52%, and an F1 value of 87.55%. Whereas results of Neural Network are with an accuracy of 81.12%, precision of 82.96%, recall value of 80.95%, and F1 value of 81.88%. The performance of these algorithms can be increased with the increase of the data size.
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